translate into an increase of approx

imately \$2 million in operating revenue.

Salaries make up 70% of the operatin increases, career progression increases, that salary costs at UWinnipeg are proj million in the coming year — before

g budget. The cost of general salary and changes in benefits costs mean ected to go up by approximately \$4 any additions to staffing levels.

find or generate another \$2 million in Simply put: UWinnipeg will have to operating revenue or expenditure redu ctions for 2014-2015 compared to this year. Unless the operating grant is incr eased or restrictions on fee revenue are loosened, this pattern will conti nue and the annual budget gap will continue to grow. In order to m eet the salary increase demands the University has traditionally attempte d to reduce non-salary expenditures. ars. The result is that non-salary This pattern has persisted for many ye expenses have been reduced from 33 % of the budget in 1999-2000 to 27% in 2011-2012. Non-Salary expenses cannot continue to be reduced indefinitely.

UWinnipeg posted deficits of \$750,000 in 2011-2012 and \$2.2 million in 2012-2013 due to increased defined benefit pension costs. The 2013-2014 budget also included a \$2 million deficit related to increased pension costs. The University has had to borrow money to fund these deficits.

Historical Imbalance

Recently released data from the Canadi an Association of University Business Officers (CAUBO)u uh-<sw2]TJ -19—5q\$TD 0 Tiey1109-2000 7nsg 0 1 bei.rs (Cf(d9sd406gmrs (02 T Expenditure per full time equivalent st determine the relative funding of Univ student means a university is spending number of students they teach. Fo relatively high expenditure per st universities (Figure 3). udent (FTE) is a useful method to ersities. A higher expenditure per more resources relative to the r example, Brandon University has a

Similarly the University of Manitoba spends more per student than the average of universities with over 20,000 FTEs (Figure 4).

Meanwhile, UWinnipeg has the lowest expenditure per student among universities with 5,000 to 10,000 FTEs the next closest insitution. Multiplied that amounts to about \$6.5 million (6 % of the University's operating budget).

Compared to this group's averag student. In other words, UWinnipeg less than the average of its peers to ed

(Figure 5) — \$810/student less than by the UWinnipeg's student population,

e, UWinnipeg expends \$3,600 less per spends \$28.5 million per year (27%) ucate the same number of students.

Looking at all Canadian universities, expenditure is ahead of only three institutions include:

• Royal Roads, which is not a traditio different model focused on distance and does not have the same level

one finds that UWinnipeg's per-student insitutions (Figure 6). These three

nal university. Itoperates on a very education and professional programs

Based on these comparisons, it is fair to say that UWinnipeg is the most cost-effective university in the country.

Pension Challenge

The funding situation makes it more difficult for UWinnipeg to deal with unexpected financial challenges, the most recent of which is related to our Defined Benefits Pension plan. It is anti cipated that, similar to last year, the University will be required to make an additional \$2 million contribution to the Defined Benefits plan. The payment will be sourced by redirecting existing operating funds and from a pr ovincial loan to be repaid over 40 years. The increased pension costs relate to factors outside the University's control, including investment losses due to the 2008 economic downturn, recent changes to provincial pension legislation, and revised actuarial assumptions about the lifespan of memb ers. The University continues to examine how it can meet the increased demands on our resources related to the Defined Benefit Pension plan this year, as well as explore options to stabilize the funding requirements of the plan over the long term.

It is worth noting that UWinnipeg is having introduced a Defined Contribu

unique among Manitoba universities for tions Pension plan in 2000, which is provided to eligible employees hired since that time. The Defined Contributions Pension plan is not linked to the current funding pressures associated with the University's Defined Benefits Pension plan.

We are required, by law, to present received permission in the past tw additional defined benefit pension expens to be funded through loans which mu fund. This is not a sustainable solution. a balanced budget. The University has o years to run a deficit related to es, but these deficits were required st be repaid through the operating

Addressing Challenges – Lean Op erations and Diversified Revenues

It is worth noting that UWinnipeg is not alone in facing difficult budget decisions. Universities across Canada many are in much more dire positions, academic programs and administrative services.

UWinnipeg administration continues to to address these funding issues, but th challenges of its own. The Province of Manitoba ran a deficit of \$1 billion in 2011-2012, about half of which was due to flood related expenses, and a deficit of \$580 million in 2012-2013. It is budgeting a loss of \$518 million for 2013-2014.

Our funding situation, combined with faster than revenue, puts constant pre operations. It also puts an increased o sources of funding. This diversificatio the way in which we deliver our cu rr programs. These programs represent th expenditures of the University, so we utilize our existing human resource

the fact that sala ry costs increase ssure on the University to streamline nus on our institution to diversify its n is accomplished in part by examining rrent undergraduate and graduate h e vast majority of the revenues and must seek more efficient ways to capacities and physical space.

Lean Operations - Making Do With Less

Faced with fiscal pressures, UWinnipe g has taken action to cut costs and enhance revenues. Since 2007, these efforts have brought in over \$8 million (7%) in annual budgetary savings and new revenues:

Increased vacancy management (lea ving some positions vacant and prioritizing staffing, a practice in troduced in 2008) resulted in \$4.4 million of savings in 2012-2013;

Through capital renewal, UWinnipe g shed leases worth \$1.2 millionplus annually since 2008;

Annual cuts and/or freezes to mi nor capital and non-salary budgets saved over \$600,000 in 2012-2013;

Encouraging staff and administration without pay program;

to participate in a voluntary days

Salaries of senior administration ha of the past four years; and

ve been frozen or reduced in three

An administrative reorganization in the summer of 2012 will result in \$700,000 in annual savings by 2014-2015 achieved through the elimination of Vice-President and Associate Vice-President, Dean and other senior positions.

In support of our academic mission, UWinnipeg has channelled some of these cost efficiencies to bolste r our faculty strength. In the 2012-2013 academic year, UWinnipeg funded the hiring of 22 faculty and sessional instructors. This is in addition to 31 faculty position s staffed in the 2011-2012 operating budget. In 2013-2014, th e President and Provost and Vice-President (Academic) authorized th e hiring of 25 permanent faculty members.

Diversified Revenues – Generating Income

The University is working hard to identify new revenue sources complementary to the University's stre ngths that can be used to subsidize our core operations. Examples incl ude supporting commercialization of research in partnership with ou r Faculty members and identifying opportunities for contracting universit v expertise to public and private entities. The Collegiate, English Language, and Professional, Applied and Continuing Education (PACE) cont ributed \$1.3 million to University operations in 2012-2013. Our Ancillary business units, many managed by the University of Winnipeg Community Renewal Corporation, such as Housing, Food Services and the Book store, also contributed over \$500,000 to operations in 2012-2013. We will cont inue to build on these successes in this year's budget, while at the same time identifying new ways to achieve operating savings and revenue generation opportunities.

To address our financial pressures, we also need to continually attract additional sponsorship and donor dolla launched our new Future Fund in the fa raising \$15 million to support academic on campus. The Future Fund has raised supported items such as the Bloomberg faculty and students, as well as the new

The University also continues to rais e funds to support our students. In 2012, UWinnipeg provided \$3.9 million in annual scholarships and bursaries, up from \$2.6 million in 2007.

The University additionally remains committed to its Opportunity Fund, which specifically seeks to support In refugees, as well as students from i track bursaries, tuition credits and th 2013, the Opportunity Fund had raised track bursaries. The Fund has supported 238 graduates to date.

the establishment of our Foundation in It is worth pointing out that since 2004-05, the University of Winnipeg' s Endowment Fund has more than doubled, growing from \$18 million to over \$40 million (2012-13). As a result the endowment now contribu tes \$1.2 million to the University annually. Notably, 85% percent of these endowed funds support student The remaining endowed funds support scholarships, awards and bursaries. activities such as library acquisitio ns, faculty research and academic programs. Between 2008-09 and 2012-13, the Foundation has also contributed an average of \$1.1 million per year of non-endowed monies to the University for various stud ent awards and assistance.

Capital and Research Budgets

Building projects are funded from the capital budget, which is separate and distinct from the oper ating budget. The new buildings on campus are possible only because of generous public grants and private sector donations. This funding comes with restrictions preventing its use to otherwise support operations.

We have successfully attracted mo government donations to our ca communities in the past decade. New construction has added 200,000 badly needed net square feet to campus enrolment and the number of facult 40%). Even with our new buildings, ho space per student, placing us amongs

Each of the new buildings on campus has been support ed by a financial plan which covers both the capital costs of construction as well as ongoing operating costs through donations, rea llocation of existing expenses (i.e. turning a lease into a mortgage) or th e creation of new revenues related to the building, like parking or rent from external tenants. Over the past few years we have significantly reduced ex pensive lease space around the city and increased campus revenues through retail and other community and commercial partnerships. The new cla ssrooms, labs and campus amenities have improved the quality of the student experience on campus.

These initiatives have also provided a small positive financial contribution to the operations of the University. The recently announced UWinnipeg Commons housing complex and UNIT (accounting for an additional \$67 millio) have been planned in a similar fashion, operations projecting revenues exceedin operations to the University's operating budget.

Like our capital budget, the University's research budget is largely distinct from the operating budget, except where UWinnipeg supports researchers through a variety of research and travel grants. The University has increased its support of these grants by 17% over the last five years. This increase has helped leverage additional external re search grants. As a result, UWinnipeg academics are attracting significantly more research dollars to campus, up from \$4.4 million to \$7.1 million in the last five years. That is a 60% increase in external research f unding flowing through UWinnipeg.

The cost of research is also supported budget through the maintenance of fac resources and materials, and the ad facilitates the hiring of research reporting to funders. Less than half indirect costs funding from the Federal Government. Please see

for more

information on indirect costs at the UWinnipeg.

The Budget Process

The University's budget process is a meets with the Deans and departmental Senate's Budget Advisory Committee to identify the most appropriate way to balance the budget. The senior financia Chancellor Dr. Lloyd Axworthy, has met with faculty councils to discuss budget priorities throughout the fall.

We are focusing on increasing revenues where possible, while any budget reductions will be viewed through the lense of maintaining the quality student experience so valued at UWinnipeg.

If you have any budget related questions, please submit them to

The University will compile Frequently and circulate the information to the enti we will post budget updates on our website homepage. Asked Questions (FAQ) and answers re campus via the staff bulletin, and

Decisions

The Board of Regents is responsible e for reviewing and approving the operating and capital budgets for the Une iversity, usually in May or June.