

Vice-President,
Research and Innovation

UNIVERSITY ANIMAL CARE COMMITTEE
Scientific Merit Peer Review Form

In accordance with the [CCAC Policy on the Importance of Independent Peer Review of the Scientific Merit of Animal-Based Research Projects \(2000\)](#), a proposal not reviewed by external, peer-reviewed agencies, must receive peer review to ensure that scientific merit has been demonstrated. Where evidence of good peer review is absent, TWO knowledgeable scientists who are not collaborating with the applicant and who are NOT on the UACC must review the proposal.

Purpose of this form: This form is used to document the scientific merit peer review as per CCAC policy.

Instructions for this form: After completing this form, mail to ethics@uwinnipeg.ca

Protocol Number	
Protocol Title	
Principal Investigator	

1. Comment on the objectives and potential contribution(s) of this study to scientific knowledge.

3. Comment on the animal-based methods.	
4a. Are the numbers of animals proposed appropriate to the research proposed? (select one)	Appropriate Too many Too few
4b. If too many or too few, please explain.	
5. Is the species of animal/model appropriate? (select one)	Appropriate • Not appropriate
5b. If not appropriate please explain.	

6a. Are there alternative experimental procedures which would address the same research questions which would decrease or eliminate the use of experimental animals? (select one)	Yes No
6b. If yes, please explain	
7. Other comments	

8. Signature

By n8804 70ng, ocedgnaheoced